

Project : CEDAR CREEK VALLEY PARKWAY Meeting Date: JUNE 22, 2020

MEETING NOTES

PARCEL		
Subject: NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING		Meeting Chairperson: Dan Foster
Meeting Location: Cedar Creek Clubhouse		Meeting Number: 1
PRESENT (SA) Dan Foste	Len Boxler	
Notice of all present: If any of the following items are incorrect or fail to record discussion at the meeting, please contact preparer immediately.		
Prepared By: Dan Foster		Issue Date: JUNE 22, 2020
Item	Discussion	on Topics
	Time meeting starts: 6:05 p.m. and ended	at around 7 p.m.
	the rezoning, a review of the Cedar Creek explanation of the approval process. The 1. The history of the Cedar Creek Are in 2012 and was initiated by the C Residents and owners of the vaca 2. The process includes rezoning to C the Cedar Creek Area Master Plain process for rezoning and plan approcess.	comments included a Master Plan. The CCAMP was completed lity with input from the Cedar Creek ant parcels in Cedar Creek. It is the preferred zoning district per in and the City. The CCAMP outlines the proval. We have discussed the rezoning staff discouraged rezoning to any other reel should be rezoned to CC.
1	All the residents at the meeting had conceuses on the parcel. We explained that whe parcel the City staff wanted to maintain so	
2	was to meet with the adjacent property ow obtain resident comments. We would procomments and concerns to the City planniowners within 200 feet would be notified a owners would have the opportunity to spe	vide a summary of the adjacent owner ing staff. We explained that the adjacent gain for the public hearing. The adjacent ak at the Planning Commission hearing and ed to make sure that open communication



Item	Discussion Topics	
3	Several residents inquired about rezoning to a more residential district. We explained that the preferred rezoning within the CCAMP and by the City staff is CC zoning. We explained that we discussed other options for rezoning but the staff wanted the CC zoning.	
4	The residents do not want commercial uses at this intersection. Do not feel that commercial or office uses at this corner. It is not a viable use. Just look at all the vacant retail in the area and along K-7. We acknowledged that we were aware of the vacant retail in the vicinity and agree that within our life time this area probably not be a prime retail corner. They are very concerned about having a failed empty commercial area in Cedar Creek.	
5	The residents do not want apartments at this location. They do not feel it matches the character of the area.	
6	The residents inquired why there was no plan. We responded that the owner had not decided what product to propose for the site. We do not believe it should be commercial. We would prefer to have residential uses and in order to propose a residential project we need CC zoning.	
7	The resident asked about access to the parcels. We explained that in order to meet the City access management access would align with Shadow Circle or Shadow Ridge Drive. Access could be at 100 th Place, however unlikely as it would be difficult to design a road that would meet the City criteria.	
8	The residents asked what the developer preference at this time. We stated that we have looked at for sale twin attached villas for the parcel as it makes sense to provide another high quality housing type option in this area. However, depending on market it could also be a small villa style home. The residents asked if the unit would be rentals. We stated that there is no prohibition for rentals for any residential in Cedar Creek. The buildings could be purchased and rented just like any other residential unit can be in Cedar Creek.	
9	The residents asked about the quality of the project. We stated that any proposed project would have to meet the CCAMP and the Cedar Creek standards.	